UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,747	12/14/2007	Kiyoshi Yoshinari	Chugai-1(FP370US)	3023
	7590 07/07/201 <b>N &amp; ASSOCIATES</b>	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8489			MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
RED BANK, NJ 07701-8489			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,747	YOSHINARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Miggins	1782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 D</u>	ecember 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
· <del>-</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2006 is/a	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/06</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/593,747 Page 2

Art Unit: 1782

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 2 recites the limitation "each of said sheet having an elastic property" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Razavi et al. (US 2004/0096622).

Razavi discloses a container for dispensing liquid contained therein through an opening wherein said opening is closed with a composite sheet comprising a sheet having elastic property and another sheet having a slip property wherein the sheets are stacked, wherein the elastic sheet is made from silicone rubber, wherein the slip sheet is made from polytetrafluoroethylene, Teflon (paragraphs [0010], [0016] and [0018]).

Art Unit: 1782

Claim 6 which recites a dynamic and static friction is inherent in Razavi since teflon is disclosed as the slip layer.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Razavi et al. (US 2004/0096622).

Razavi fails to specifically disclose claim 7 which recites a hardness and reflection coefficient. However, claim 7 is necessarily present in Razavi because Razavi discloses silicone rubber which is the same or substantially similar to applicant's recited material for the elastic layer in instant claim 4. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided claim 7 in Razavi in order to improve the self sealing properties of Razavi.

8. Claims 2-3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Razavi et al. (US 2004/0096622) in view of Boosalis et al. (US 4362698).

Razavi fails to disclose slits in both the elastic layer and slip layer that are substantially aligned.

Art Unit: 1782

Boosalis discloses slits in both the elastic layer and slip layer that are substantially aligned (column 3, lines 21-58, column 4, lines 43-64, column 7, lines 31-62, column 8, lines 45-68) in closures for fluid sample cups for the purpose of preventing cross contamination of samples (column 1, lines 31-49).

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided slits in both the elastic layer and slip layer that are substantially aligned in Razavi in order to prevent cross contamination of samples as taught or suggested by Boosalis.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,747 Page 5

Art Unit: 1782

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael C. Miggins/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782

MCM July 6, 2010